

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	•					
	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
_	10/590,995	08/29/2006	Jun Mase	295401US0PCT	4218	
		7590 09/25/2007 AK MCCLELLAND M.	AIER & NEUSTADT PC	EXAMINER		
	1940 DUKE ST	OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET			DANG, THUAN D	
	ALEXANDRIA	ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1764			
				NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
				09/25/2007	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
•		MASE ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	10/590,995 Examiner	Art Unit		
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	Thuan D. Dang	th the correspondence address		
Period for Reply				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions are reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stated and the period for reply will be supported by the period for reply will be supported by the provision of the provisions of 37 CFR.	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIO 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tute, cause the application to become AB	CATION. eply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22	November 2006.			
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ TI	his action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice unde	r <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 2</u> is/are pending in the applic	cation.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		•		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 2</u> is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.			
Application Papers				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami	iner			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a		ov the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	an priority under 35 U.S.C. &	119(a)-(d) or (f).		
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	gir priority arrabi de erere. 3			
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received.			
2. Certified copies of the priority docume		pplication No		
Copies of the certified copies of the pr	riority documents have been	received in this National Stage		
application from the International Bure				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ist of the certified copies not	received.		
Attachment(s)				
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		ummary (PTO-413) \/Mail Date		
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08))/Mail Date formal Patent Application		
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>8/29/06; 11/22/06</u> .	6) Other:	<u> </u>		

Application/Control Number: 10/590,995

Art Unit: 1764

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In step (A), it is not clear to which "a starting material" is referred and what is contained in this starting material.

The term "the resulting liquid reaction mixture lacks an antecedent basis.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.

Application/Control Number: 10/590,995

Art Unit: 1764

4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Honna discloses a process of isomerization of endo-trimethylenenorbornane in the presence of a solid catalyst to produce a product containing adamantane in the form of colorless, transparent solution. The solution is concentrated so that an adamantane of more than 99% pure is precipitated and the crystalline adamantane of more than 99% of purity is separated (the abstract, examples 1, and 12).

Honna appears to be silent as to the ratio of endo-trimethylenenorbornane/adamantane as called for in claim 1. However, the Honna concentration step must yield such ratio since as demonstrated in examples and comparative examples in the specification of this application.

Adamantane product having a purity of more than 99% cannot be obtained if there is no such a concentrated feed for the crystallization step.

Honna does not disclose washing and drying the adamantane crystals. However, it would have been obvious to one having oridinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the Honna process by washing and drying the precipitated adamantane to remove

Art Unit: 1764

possible impurities and dirt and washing solution on the crystals to obtain clean adamantane

crystals.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thuan D. Dang whose telephone number is 571-272-1445. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thu.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenn Caldarola can be reached on 571-272-1444. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Thuan D. Dang Primary Examiner Art Unit 1764

10590995.20070915

Jh Ing